Fractal Data Strategy

>BACKGROUND

-What is Fractal Programming?

=Based on the mathematic principle of Fractals, or repeating patterns found in a mathematical set that can be found at every scale (no matter how large or small the size of the image of the object in question). These patterns are found in nature and thus can also be found in geometric shapes, imagery, structures and even in written law. When adapted to computer programming, the algorithms search for overarching patterns and thus save tremendous amounts of computational power because the formulas are non-differentiable by their very nature. Thanks to this rationalization, what was once only possible with a bank of terminals working for a week can now be performed by a hand held device in a matter of seconds.

-Who is Jay Valentine?

- = Jay Valentine runs a Fractal Programming based analytics company that specializes large scale industries such as power grid suppliers in order to prevent transformer blow outs when the grid is overtaxed (mostly by the recent addition of numerous electric cars in North America; because each electric vehicle charging overnight is the equivalent of adding another house to the neighborhood).
 - -The company creates Artificial Intelligence that can recognize patterns to help rationalize waste in any given system.

-What Has Jay Valentine's Company Produced?

- = The Company (which is nameless for undisclosed reasons) was tasked to process voter rolls in Wisconsin by Sheriff Clark and later in Arizona by Mike Lindell.
 - -The company provided the raw data and finalized reports used as part of the expert witness testimony in the ongoing Lake v. Hobbs court case.
 - -The voter rolls in both states were found to be liberally "salted" with phantom voters registered from laundromats,

filling stations, vacant lots & etc. This was only possible through the ability of the A.I. to recognize patterns in addresses and names. Verification was done via google maps; but any street view program can be used.

-What Lessons did 2020 & 2022 Teach Us?

(1) Voter "Names" are Protected, but not Legal Addresses.

- -Voter rolls simply state a "name" as a registered voter.
- -Lawfare protects said "name" via corrupt judges, lawyers and county recorders. Clear cut evidence will not spur them to act.
- -These same overbearing legal protections afforded to names is not afforded to legal addresses.
- -All voter rolls do not meet basic conditions for a company to publish a legal financial report for tax purposes.

(2) We cannot win using old technology and traditional means.

- -Voter roll databases are a jumbled mess by design.
- -Election commissions are fundamentally responsible for manipulating voter rolls and then protecting their fraud via lawfare. With an inability to remove the criminals via simply voting, the criminal cartels are able to operate with impunity in districts they are already in control of.

-What is E.R.I.C., Who Provided Major Funding and Why?

=From an article by Jim Hoft:

"For decades the Democrats and leftists have fought ferociously to prevent the cleanup of State voter registration rolls. Recognizing a potential niche, left wing activists created ERIC to clean voter rolls their way, using their rules. So in 2012 the Electronic Registration Information Center (ERIC) was formed as a membership organization primarily for blue States. ERIC is essentially a left wing voter registration drive disguised as voter roll clean up. But it's been gaining traction in Red States too. Originally funded by the Soros Open Society, it is now responsible for cleaning the voter rolls in 31 States, plus D.C. A top election official from each member State is appointed a seat on the ERIC Board or as an Officer, all unpaid positions.

ERIC located 17 million new voters for the 2020 election, the most in the history of their organization. For comparison, they only found 5.7 million in 2012, Obama's reelection.

The ERIC database is comprehensive and would be one of the most coveted by bad actors looking to influence an election. Member States must not only submit all details on inactive and active voters to ERIC every 60 days. But they must also provide every individual in their states Motor Vehicle Department database, both licensed and ID recipients. This combo of data is breathtaking. It's everyone who could generate a legal ballot. It includes those approaching voting age, even those here illegally yet issued an ID by their left leaning State. This data includes names, addresses, DOB, License #, last 4 of social #, voter activity, phone, email, title and type of citizenship documentation, and much more!

ERIC doesn't just manage lists, they demand action. But it's not the action you would expect, like cleaning voter rolls. ERIC provides each member State a targeted list of people that are not registered to vote. The Membership Bylaws require the State to contact at least 95% of these people

within 90 days, soliciting them to register. ERIC also wants specific registration profiles updated and requires the State to contact these voters within 90 days too. It's essentially a left wing voter registration drive all paid for by the States, not the Democrat Party. The membership fee is \$25,000 but costs can run into the millions to fund the activities and membership dues.

Oddly, ERIC has no requirement or mandate that member States clean up their voter rolls. States are only "strongly encouraged" to request ERIC's voter updates at least once a year. If a member fails to make a request in 425 days, the data will be sent automatically. What's even more odd, and seemingly corrupt, is that ERIC does NOT want to know who is voting illegally. Their rules explain that "Under no circumstances shall the members transmit any record indicating an individual is a non-citizen of the U.S." as stated in Exhibit A, 2b. If ERIC hears no evil, then they see no evil.

ERIC uses advanced technology including Artificial Intelligence from Senzing. This data matching AI compares information collected by member states with USPS address data and Social Security death records. But no one knows how else this data collected from the 31 States is being used. J. Christian Adams recently discussed ERIC in a Breitbart podcast interview (starts 1:16:50). Adams says: "That's part of the smoke screen. ERIC learns who gets registered from their program, so they're able to micro target with whoever is partnered with ERIC. We don't know who their interfacing all this data with. It could be Catalist, the massive Democrat database organization. We just don't know. I've talked to some ERIC Board Members who are Secretary of States. They don't even know what ERIC is doing. They've asked questions and can't get answers."

ERIC demands additional data, like the total number of provisional ballots cast (See Exhibit B&C). They. They want totals of provisional ballots counted, provisional's uncounted, and why. They want to know who registered or updated their data, then voted that same day. They require data on those who registered using paper and those using electronic methods. They also want a list of all individuals in other agencies that perform voter registration functions. This includes staff in Public Libraries, Department of Public Safety, Unemployment, Dept of Health, Social Services, and so on.

Member States are currently using ERIC to hide their list maintenance data, citing it violates their ERIC contracts, even though Federal law mandates it be made public. The 1993 NVRA (Motor Voter) law includes a "Public Disclosure Provision" which allows the public inspection of "voter list maintenance records". The Public Interest Legal Foundation with J. Christian Adams recently sued the District of Columbia for this exact reason. They expect to sue other States as well. Those leading election audits should demand "Voter List Maintenance Records" by citing the Federal law U.S.C. 20507(i)(1) and not use the weaker FOIA requests for maintenance records. See DC case for details.

ERIC was funded by an "anonymous" donor and The Pew Center On The States. This grant was provided by the George Soros Open Society. David Becker, an experienced Democrat election lawyer, left the Justice Department to create the ERIC architecture. Originally a blue State project, ERIC had 11 member states by 2014 and 22 by 2017. ERIC has not published an annual report since 2017, almost 5 years ago. Becker, who still has a seat on the ERIC board, went on to create the Center for Election Innovation and Research (CEIR) in 2016. He distributed \$69.5 million in grants from Zuckerberg for the 2020 election using similar methods as CTCL.

Mr. Adams also says: "One thing we do know is ERIC is hiding the facts about how States are making these decisions. It's a system breakdown. It's a leveraging of power of who writes the rules. It's not just outright cheating, it's way more sophisticated involving who has power, who can see records, who gets to vote, and who are the observers. It's (ERIC) a comprehensive suite manipulating the process. And it's not always cheating. Sometimes it's totally legal what they do."

In 2016, leaked funding documents showed Soros money, partnered with the Rockefeller Family Fund, was used to push changes to voter registration policies at the national level. Soros also gave money to Pew Center On The States for voter list maintenance practices favorable to Soros at the state level. Soros also funded the Brennan Center for Justice and the Advancement Project. These two groups became the loudest voices in opposing election integrity and STOPPING any effort that would ensure only U.S. citizens vote."

=We cannot circumvent a fraud machine from within it. We have to work around it with the tools recently made available to us.

+Again: ADDRESSES instead of names. The laws are already set in place and are not changing in time to save the democrat party from destruction at the polls.

>ACTION PLAN

-Omega4America.com

- = Providing the Fractal programming based A.I. at no cost to volunteer organizations cleaning voter rolls across the world, because they simply do not possess the man power to do it themselves and do not want to do it anymore (it's very taxing on their computer engineers and is not as lucrative as other projects).
 - -The computational capabilities will allow small groups to update their databases bi-weekly based on the property tax records alone.
 - -Omega4America is hosting educational zoom calls almost nightly to instruct those even with elementary computer knowledge to create databases through the Fractal programming.

-Databases to Build

1.Certificate of Occupancy Contestation Candidates [FRACTAL PROGRAM]

- (1) Any structure whose occupancy level cannot meet fire code (i.e. any residential dwelling with more than five voters per 25sq. Ft.).
- (2) Any condemned structure.
 - -Fire Departments have the power to act.
 - -Health Departments have the power to act.
 - -Judges can revoke certificates of occupancy in the name of safety.

2. Undeliverable Ballot Addresses [FRACTAL PROGRAM]

(1) Can the address receive mail?

- -Example: an empty lot cannot receive mail.
- (2) Can the address receive ballots?
 - -Example: a laundromat cannot receive ballots legally.
- (3) If the address is at an apartment complex, which unit #?
 -Ballots cannot be delivered to the complex as a whole.
- +Bring the completed database of undeliverable ballot addresses and compare them to the active voter rolls. Matching addresses are to be presented to the public (this is key), the board of supervisors and the county recorder. Make it known that ballots delivered to these addresses are criminal in nature and that legal challenges will be mounted if any said addresses are utilized for any of the upcoming elections.
 - -If the county sheriff is lawful, present the evidence to the lawful sheriff's department for investigation and monitoring. Election fraud is still a crime. If the county sheriff is UNlawful (Pima, Maricopa and etc.), present the evidence anyways. Have the sheriff refuse to act or even retaliate unlawfully. Notify internal affairs and make public your findings and experiences.

3. Duplicate Voters [FRACTAL PROGRAM]

-The A.I. can see patterns of voters with similar addresses and names.

+Example:

- (1) Barry Goldwater, Berry Goldwater, B. Goldwater, Barry Guldwater & etc.
- (2) 123 South Mainstreet, Vail, U.S.A. 85641-2317 123 S. Mainstreet, Vail, U.S.A. 85641-2317 123 South Main, Vail, U.S.A. 85641 123 South Mainstreet, Corona de Tucson, U.S.A. & etc.
- +These voters need to be rationalized and reported as a single voter to HONEST county recorders. DISHONEST county recorders need to be publicly humiliated for failing to act before an election; and litigation must be threatened if multiple ballots are cast from the rationalized address.

3. Inactively Active Voters [FRACTAL PROGRAM]

-This is where walk lists for each precinct come into play. Door

knocking, visiting nursing homes and talking to apartment managers is the only way for the county parties to gather intelligence and prompt HONEST county recorders to send the two consecutive notices out.

- +This is only effective on a long term strategy base. This will not effect 2023 and will have moderate effect on 2024.
 - -DISHONEST county recorders are NOT OBLIGATED TO ACT BY DESIGN.

4. Dead Voters Actively Voting [old fashioned research]

- -This is where genealogists and library adventurers come into play. Once gathered, the dead voters can be made into a publicity stunt against dishonest county recorders and crooked judges.
- -Honest county recorders and judges can remove the voting dead, but, again, only on a long term basis.